

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,960 10/28/2003		Isabelle Laye	1410/79708 4443		
22242 FITCH EVEN	7590 04/04/200 N TABIN AND FLANN	EXAMINER			
	LA SALLE STREET	WONG, LESLIE A			
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, II	L 60603-3406	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1761		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 M	ONTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/694,96	60	LAYE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Leslie Wo		1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>I</i>	March 26 2007						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
·								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 27-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 27-42 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,960

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 26, 2007 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 27-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yee et al (US Patent No. 5750177) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action. The new claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Yee et al disclose a cheese containing added whey having a melting temperature of less than 200°F (see entire document, especially claims 10 and 12). Although not the preferred embodiment, Yee discloses emulsifying agents (see column 4, lines 46-51 and column 7, lines 16-26).

The claims differ as to the specific ratio of casein to whey.

Yee et al also teach the adjustment of the casein to whey ratio and specifically teach a ratio of at least 1:4 (whey to casein).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,960

Art Unit: 1761

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to adjust the whey to casein ratio as taught by Yee et al and as is claimed because the manipulation of the casein to whey ratio is well-known in the art. In the absence of a showing to the contrary Applicant is using known components to obtain no more than expected results.

Yee et al clearly teach the adjustment of the whey to casein ratio (including 1:16, 1:8, and 1:4) and Yee et al specifically teaches making a cheese with a higher level of whey proteins than in conventional cheese (see column 5, lines 14-45).

Applicant's arguments filed March 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Yee et al do not teach the claimed whey to casein ratio and that it is impossible to modify the teachings of Yee et al.

The rejection is applied under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). As stated above, the claims differ from Yee et al as to the specific ratio of casein to whey. Yee et al teach the adjustment of whey to casein ratio and a cheese with a higher level of whey proteins than in conventional cheese. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to modify the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. In this case, Yee et al teach a modification of the protein

Application/Control Number: 10/694,960

Art Unit: 1761

ratios. In the absence of a showing of unexpected results, applicant is using known

components to obtain no more than expected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wona

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW

March 29, 2007